audience for plain honest films like this one that just tell a good story and send you home feeling good.” The success of Teacher suggests that Fraser has a fine sense of what Canadian audiences want, and there is no reason to believe he will be wrong with Marie-Anne. His next project is Back to Beulah, for which he has secured W.O. Mitchell’s first completed screen play. It will probably be directed by R. Martin Walters, whose first feature was Marie-Anne. Like many of the film people Fraser is gathering around him, Walters’ expertise comes primarily from working on American features shot in the Canadian West.

Fraser is obviously a man of many ideas and deep convictions. He shows no sign of awkwardness when he calmly asserts: “We’re reinventing the Canadian film industry.” But in spite of such self-confidence he remains aware of the painful fate awaiting failed producers. “With every project you’re putting yourself on the line intellectually, emotionally, spiritually and of course economically.” Why expose yourself to these dangers? Fraser replies: “I’m turned on by the entrepreneurial challenge involved in making films in Canada at this time. Everybody says you can’t do it, but if you ever really win—WOW!”

Riel, a CBC co-production, is John Trent’s latest project in a career dominated by ambitious undertakings. “Basically it’s a film of revolution,” he explains, comparing it with The Battle of Algiers. “Revolution is a reality close to every country,” Trent continues, “and so Riel is a universal story.”

His interest in the international appeal of the production is understandable because he has a financial interest in its world sales through Green River Films, the co-producing company. This is the first time the CBC has made such a production arrangement, and so it represents an important breakthrough in the Corporation’s policy. Says Trent: “The CBC is beginning to become outward looking. Its management under Don MacPherson understands that there must be some relationship with the private sector. They believed that the time was right, and that I could do a good job for them for the money.”

Trent’s reputation as well as his wealth is riding on the fate of Riel, and thus his career is currently in a holding pattern. Assuming success, he would like to go on doing stories about the history of the Canadian West. Other situations he would consider dramatizing involve the War of 1812, the saga of the United Empire Loyalists and the condition of the Italian community in Toronto. Like the Albertan producer Fil Fraser, he maintains that “Canadian films will find a place on the world market only when they are about Canada.”

In spite of such pronouncements, the completed work that Trent seems to hold closest to his heart is Homer, which he directed in 1969. Although filmed in Ontario, this anti-Vietnam War movie is dramatically set in rural Wisconsin. The picture earned high praise from sources such as Rex Reed, The New York Times and Time. It is currently winning renewed attention in the USA as an important document of social history. Trent contrasts the handling he has received from the American press to the treatment he gets at home. The local media, he believes, was especially unfair in its savage criticism of the Whiteoaks of Jana series, which he produced in 1971. Pointing to a vindictive attack on Riel in the June 12th issue of Maclean’s, Trent maintains that the Canadian press is once again gathering forces to kill an attempt to create art in this country.

Trent claims that his displeasure with some factions in the media stems not from personal bitterness at having been criticized, but rather from a deep conviction that journalists are hindering the development of creativity in Canada. He explains: “In Britain and the United States, they have a way of bolstering their own culture, their own artists and their own situation. On the other hand the press here never stands up for anything that’s Canadian.” Trent feels that this lack of press support is one of the major reasons many of our best show business people leave Canada for the United States. “They train on stuff our critics say is bad,” he declares, “and later go on to achieve success elsewhere which beies the judgment made in Canada about their earlier work.” “It is very sad,” Trent sighs.

Like so many who touch the story of Louis Riel, Trent sees parallels between contemporary injustices in Canada and the persecution of the famous rebel leader. The “cripple kickers” in the press, he believes, “drive show business talent out of the country just as they drove Riel out of the country.” What makes him stay in an environment he believes to be so unappreciative of the artist? Perhaps it is the sheer challenge of surviving against the odds as a filmmaker. “When you do things in Canada you do them for the first time,” he exclaims, adding: “When you consider that we’re right next door to the most powerful media machine in the world, it’s incredible that our film industry has come as far as it has in the past ten or fifteen years.”
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