

Bonnie Sherr Klein
& Terri Nash's

Speaking Our Peace

Speaking Our Peace, a new hour-long documentary from Studio D of the National Film Board, combines the directorial talents of Bonnie Sherr Klein (*Not A Love Story*) and Terri Nash (*If You Love This Planet*) with the scriptwriting skills of Gloria Demers (*Behind The Veil*). The result is a carefully structured and provocative essay on the current global crisis seen through the eyes of dedicated women working for lasting peace. The strength of the film lies in the range of its analysis and the inspiring personalities of the women featured in it.

The opening images shot at Greenham Common, England, establish not only the decentralized, grass-roots basis for 'a different vision of peace' but also the contrasting iconography and methods by which that vision is expressed. As thousands of women surround the fence that surrounds the U.S. Air Force base (home of 32 nuclear missiles), their songs, flowers, banners, vitality and obvious affection for one another make the enclosed hi-tech hardware and buildings seem sterile, worthless, and completely out of place. We know, of course, that these and other nuclear missiles are stockpiled "to keep the peace" - or so the militarist vision of peace-keeping would have us believe.

To counter that perspective, the filmmakers simultaneously unravel the extensive web of militarism while weaving their own network of insights, linkages and human bonds.

Militarism depends on a populace that is both deferential to authority and steeped in fear and distrust of some "other." *Speaking Our Peace* confronts both these psychological tendencies by highlighting women peace activists who challenge existing power structures and concepts, and who effectively establish communication links with women around the world. Dr. Ursula Franklin, a physicist and professor of engineering at the University of Toronto, pinpoints the difference between militarism - a "threat system," "a way of saying do what I say or else" - and feminism, which is "an experience that integrates diversity," "that tries to enhance cooperation and respect differences." As one of the founders of the Canadian peace organization The Voice of Women/La Voix des femmes in 1960, Dr. Franklin goes to the heart of the problem by discussing militarism's need for the concept of enemy. "We have to realize," she says, "how essential the concept of enemy is for those who are mutual enemies. You can see how well it has served both the Soviet Union and the United States, because for both it has provided the excuse for not dealing with a perfectly legitimate dissent and the need for reorganizing their social structures." Given the post-war technological transformations in the Soviet Union and the United States, Dr. Franklin argues that "in both cases, the external enemy was a lovely possibility of not dealing with urgent social problems, and both would find it horrible if

the other side would give up. They would have to look for another enemy."

To show us the human face of this "enemy," *Speaking Our Peace* travels to Moscow, following the activities of two Canadian peace-activists who seek to establish communication links with women behind the Iron Curtain. Muriel Duckworth, a community organizer from Halifax and a long-time friend of Dr. Franklin, and Kathleen Wallace-Deering, a Vancouver women who works with Project Ploughshares, talk with Soviet women like Mira Petrovskaya of the USSR Institute for U.S. and Canada Studies, and Olga Lisikova, a pilot in World War Two who flew rescue missions into the besieged Leningrad. Archival footage reveals the terrible suffering of the Soviet people fighting the Nazis - in which more than 22 million Russians died. As narrator Margot Kidder tells us, "War is not an unknown to the Russian people. It is not something that happened to someone else, in some other place." This context underscores the sincerity of Petrovskaya's remark that "the Soviet Union had a very terrible experience with the war and people don't want it, nobody's preparing to fight. People are preparing to defend themselves." Lisikova adds that "the Soviet people are not afraid of American people, or Canadian people, or European people" but there are "certain individuals in the West" who have "a pathological hatred to the socialist countries" and "they are trying to persuade their own people that the Soviet people is different from them, which it's not."

To reveal human similarities, the camera singles out Soviets engaged in those daily activities that link us all: selecting food at the market, the care of

children, the joys of Russian couples on holiday. The shots would be clichéd were it not for the necessity of countering the notion of a dehumanized "Soviet menace" so well-constructed in the West. Of course, as Dr. Franklin notes: "If people like us talk about the uselessness and senselessness of the arms race, and the senselessness of that concept of an enemy, in this 'win/lose', 'either/or' picture, if you aren't against the enemy you must be for it. You cannot be against the institution of the enemy." Author and peace activist Margaret Laurence adds that "it seems so strange to me that some of the peace groups, and many individuals such as myself, are said by some people to be taken part in subversive activities. If peace is subversive, in God's name what is war?"

Having established a basis for shifting the terms of the debate - from East-West polarization, to militarism vs. peaceful communication and cooperation, the second half of the film concentrates on the extent to which World War Three has already begun. As narrator Kidder informs us: "In the half-hour since this film began, the military around the world has spent \$45 million dollars. In that same length of time, a thousand children have died of starvation, one every two seconds." Solanges Vincent, author of two books on economic policy, examines the links between militarism and economic dependence in the Third World - a relationship by which client states serve to benefit the suppliers of food, equipment and arms. The relative "peace of exploitation", a "peace of domination and repression" can't last. Says Solanges Vincent, "Without a more equal sharing of resources, there'll be no peace, no matter how much we protest against the Cruise or the MX."

But World War Three's victims are not only the starving poor, left to die in order to feed the military machine. Darlene Keju, an activist from the Marshall Islands, tells of the effects on her people of the nuclear testing conducted in the south Pacific by the U.S. military between 1946 and 1958. Besides the multiple tumors, the inedible fruit and fish, and the displacement of the people from islands vaporized by bombing, Keju describes a new phenomenon of foetal abnormalities linked to nuclear pollution: the birth of what are being called "jelly-fish babies" that are "nothing like a human at all." Following her frightening description, the narration reminds us that "we all now carry a small amount of cancer-causing plutonium in our bodies" as a result of worldwide nuclear testing. "World War Three is already claiming its victims."

One of the hallmarks of the work of Studio D is that its films inevitably circle back to focus on the Canadian link to whatever issues are being explored. In this case, Dr. Rosalie Bertell, a biostatistician and Roman Catholic nun, raises the issue of uranium mining and milling - a central process in the manufacture of nuclear weapons. Canada, the world's largest exporter of uranium, is thus not only contributing to worldwide nuclear pollution in all its forms, but is also contaminating its own people. *Speaking Our Peace* takes us to Elliott Lake, Ont. where the mining of uranium has so polluted the Serpent River and the surrounding lands that the area will remain radioactive "for much longer than the entire span of



Photo: Martin Franklin

• The ladies who speak their peace: directors Terri Nash and Bonnie Klein

recorded history." Similarly, at Port Hope, Ont., home of Eldorado Nuclear Ltd. where uranium is refined for use in nuclear power plants, the nuclear wastes spilled into the lake have ruined a once-vital source of clean drinking water and sports-fishing. Even Scarborough, Ont., is revealed to be a "random victim of the nuclear age" - a subdivision whose residents have recently discovered that their homes are located on radioactive soil contaminated by radium wastes buried there years ago. The sight of Dr. Bertell holding a ticking geiger counter over the soil in a "normal"-looking backyard is both eerie and upsetting. The fear in the residents' eyes as they watch her speaks volumes.

As its title suggests, *Speaking Our Peace* is a very verbal film; the visuals do not transcend the function of illustrating the narration or simply documenting the speakers. While this style is perhaps appropriate to the film's purpose, it does at times make for a preponderance of talking-heads. Nevertheless, it is important what we learn of the work of these dedicated women, and Studio D plans a series of shorter films focusing on each of the women here.

Unfortunately, the weakest section of *Speaking Our Peace* appears near its end, with the introduction of Ottawa mayor Marian Dewar, who urges women to take up issues beyond partisan politics and to work toward resolving polarized issues through face-to-face communication. While the inclusion of Dewar is intended to illustrate a different style for using power within the political system - a style which emphasizes real talk and personal respect between adversaries - this section seems somehow misplaced. Following as it does upon the horrific, faceless and unceasing workings of global militarism, Dewar's message appears simplistic.

Moreover, by ending the film with a figure whose political role (no matter what her individual style) is solidly within the political mainstream, the filmmakers go against the grain of their own argument, which (unless I have misunderstood), is, in part, voiced early on by one of the women at Greenham Common - Helen Johns. In describing the women who initiated the now-legendary and on-going demonstration, Johns says: "They were deeply concerned women who couldn't any longer expect politicians or leaders of groups to do things for them, and they decided to do something for themselves."

By examining the insanity of militarism, by documenting the insights and vision of several women who dare to speak their peace, and by revealing the terrible extent to which World War Three is already claiming its victims, *Speaking Our Peace* inspires action. And provides an effective argument against the notion that the current nuclear arms build-up is somehow for our "protection."

Joyce Nelson ●

Speaking Our Peace d. Bonnie Sherr Klein, Terri Nash exec. p. Kathleen Shannon p. Bonnie Sherr Klein, Margaret Pettigrew sc. Gloria Demers, Gwynne Basen, Klein, Nash narr. Margot Kidder ed. Janice Brown cam. Susan Trow, Sandi Sissel loc. sd. Diane Carriere res. cons. Dorothy Goldin Rosenberg asst. cam. Zoe Dirse asst. film ed. Petra Valier add. cam. Martin Duckworth, Anne Cottringer archival res. Terri Nash mus. Judy Henderson arr. & syn. Jeff Fisher mus. rec. Louis Hone mus. ed. Diane Le Floch sd. ed. Jacqueline Newell, Wojtek Klis re-rec. Jean-Pierre Joutal unit admin. Gisele Guilbaut Col. 16mm., running time : 60 mins. p.c. & dist. National Film Board.

Paul Donovan's Def-Con 4

Here's something nice for a change : a Canadian exploitation movie that isn't dead on its feet. *Def-Con 4* is an ultra-low-budget, action flick that moves right along and even displays flashes of intelligence now and then. It isn't way up there with, say, *Night of the Living Dead*, or even on a par with early Cronenberg. It's got problems all over the place and its strengths aren't really as strong as they should be, but, if you've got a taste for schlock in the first place, *Def-Con 4* is good enough to make the problems worth putting up with.

Its greatest strength is its storyline. Basically, it's the science-fiction standard about the post-nuclear-holocaust good guys trying to get to safety and running afoul of the newly-created slave state, which makes it fairly predictable and, if you know science fiction, fairly trite. But *Def-Con 4* doesn't arrive at its slave state until it's almost half over and it uses its build-up time well, creating a set of dramatic crises that are enjoyable in themselves and that develop character and reverse our expectations to give weight to what comes later.

We start with three astronauts going quietly mad in an armed satellite. Captain Walker (John Walsch) is the hero-type - cool, calm and conventionally handsome, yet he's the one who doesn't want to push the button when World War III starts. Jordan (Kate Lynch) should be the love interest, but she's written and played (excellently on both counts) with a sardonic comic edge that, early on, makes it clear that she's not going to melt into anybody's arms. The astronauts should be our group hero, but when the ship semi-crashes two months after the bombs have stopped falling, Jordan goes into a coma and Walker gets killed right away. This leaves Howe (Tim Choate), the twitchy techie, to fulfill the role of hero and he's no Mad Max. Faced with the realities of cannibalism and capture by Vinny (Maury Chaykin), a barbarous lout who keeps a private-school girl locked in his basement, he persists in attempts at civilized behavior.

When Howe, Vinny and J.J. (Lenore Zann), the girl in the basement, set off for the space capsule, they get captured by the minions of J.J.'s ex-boyfriend, Gideon (Kevin King), preppy psychotic and leader of the slave-state. It's here that the story turns predictable, but writer-director Paul Donovan keeps on trying for surprises large and small. The public hanging with Gideon offering to let one of his victims go free if he'll pull the cord on the others is no cliched situation, nor is Howe's response : he agrees to do it to save his skin, not because he sees it as a way to free the others. A fairly standard manhandle-the-female-prisoners shot turns comic when Vinny, bound, stares hungrily at Jordan's breast and the camera holds on her barely-perceptible amazed and disgusted reaction, typical of the quirky humor that runs through the picture. The revolt of the slaves, almost guaranteed to succeed in the world of the B movie, fails utterly. The final showdown : villain with his knife at the heroine's throat telling hero he hasn't

the guts to do anything about it, turns out, for the only time in living memory, exactly as the villain predicts. The surprises run all through the movie and they're its second big strength.

The third is enthusiasm. Though *Def-Con 4* looks like it was made for next to nothing, that only really works against it a couple of times : in the shots of the too-fake severed hand and the foil-lined, red-lit tunnel meant to be part of the capsule interior. For the rest, Donovan, or somebody, came up with an effective way to show World War III using only simple computer graphics and TV screens going from picture to snow and the art department did good jobs on Vinny's armored house and home-made tank and on Gideon's shantytown. Make-up and costume departments likewise did convincing work and the actors are, for the most part, well-cast (especially Jeff Pustil as the no. 2 thug) and uniformly enthusiastic.

But I said the film has problems and it has. Some of them are endemic to the low-budget movie, like the woodenness of John Walsch and the high-school amateurism of Kevin King, who is so much the posturing little boy you can't imagine how he ever got to be leader, or the miscasting of Lenore Zann who is simply too old for the role. But most of them seem to stem from flaws in both writing and directing. There is a lack of coverage in some sequences, leaving them a bit too flat to work. Some scenes, notably the mob panting for the public hanging, go on too long and reveal that the crowd isn't really as worked up as it's supposed to be. More to the point, some of Donovan's ideas misfire. Venal Vinny steps completely out of character in refusing to save himself by hanging the others and later joins the good guys. We are shown a book he's writing and it's meant to


show he has some sort of soul, but it's far too little and far too late. Similarly, we're never shown what changes Howe into a fighter, though we are given a good scene of his first act of personal violence, showing how very hard for him it is to kill.

Occasionally, there's a scene which just doesn't work at all. Jordan's surreptitious attempt to give Gideon a lethal injection might have worked in a movie where she was the trusted physician, but here, with mutual distrust their only emotion, his trap has no point and makes him look as stupid as she does for falling for it. At the end, Donovan's handling of the final confrontation cheats us of the pleasure of a splashy end for the villain (being vaporized in an A-blast is not, in villain terms, a particularly splashy end) and denies the hero his final trial and triumph so that, in retrospect, the movie seems to lack a strong center.

Despite the flaws, *Def-Con 4* still manages to be more interesting and original than anything recently churned out by our usual crew of schlockmeisters and, if Donovan keeps this up - *Def-Con* is his third feature - then it's very likely he can create, with co-producers B.A. Gillian and Maura O'Connell, something well worth watching next time.

Andrew Dowler ●

DEF-CON 4 d./sc. Paul Donovan exec. p. P.M. Robinson, J. William Ritchie p. B.A. Gillian, Maura O'Connell, Paul Donovan p. des. J.W. Walsch, Emanuel Jannasch d.o.p. Doug Connell, Les Krizsan assoc. ed. Michael Spence mus. Chris Young ed. Todd Ramsay running time : 85 mins. p.c. Salter Street Films, Halifax dist. Pan-Canadian l.p. Lenore Zann, Maury Chaykin, Kate Lynch, Kevin King, John Walsch, Tim Choate, Jeff Pustil, Donna King, Allan MacBillivray, Florence Paterson, Karen Kenedy, Ken Ryan, Geoff Harrington, Al Foster, Hugh Orr, Bruce Piercy, Peter Falconer.



● Surviving nu in *Def-Con 4*, good Canadian schlock


MINI - REVIEWS

by Pat Thompson

ONE WOMAN WAITING

The wind whines over the dunes; the sand shimmers and shifts; the sounds of little bells spin in the air. A woman walks into frame, her bare feet leaving a looped pattern of tracks. She sits down. A tiny figure crosses the far horizon and advances. It is a second woman who, facing the first, puts out her hand and appears to transfer something. They gaze at each other, and then embrace. The second woman leaves in an opposite direction. A series of dissolves gradually darken the frame, and both sets of footprints are obliterated. The wind, sand and music fade with the image.

West coast filmmaker Josephine Massarella's interestingly absorbing experimental film consists of a single shot and a static camera until the dissolves. Upon its crystalline imagery can be projected whatever male and female wish - or experience. There's no dialogue, and the natural sounds



and mystical music contribute towards a mildly hypnotic state that impels the viewer towards an interior interpretation.

d./cam./sc. Josephine Massarella. **orig. mus.:** Hildegard Westerkamp. **re-record:** Paul Sharpe. **l.p.** Marianne Kaplan, Karin Stanley. **running time** 8 mins. **col.** 16mm. **Availability:** Josephine Massarella (604) 731-9807.

A TOUCH OF ALICE

An unpretentious little romp through the week-long summer puppet workshop organized by the Mermaid Theatre for the children of its home town, Wolfville, N.S.

The kids get down to their version of "Alice in Wonderland" - writing the script, and making the masks and puppets with the help of Jim Morrow of the theatre company. The lone pair of lads in the group happily play violin and flute, and the violinist composes an overture for the piece.

A short "acted" episode with the 11-year-old participant Jennie Richmond, who plays Alice, is enlivened by the puppets and masks looming over her bed in a panicky-pleasurable dream.

In all, a good little film to get the kids interested in the idea of puppet-theatre. Starting from a story, through the various aspects - masks (inhibitions seem to dissolve when one is put on!), puppet-making, movement, music, acting, and the delicious messing around with foam-slab, glue and paint for the puppets and masks - and ending up with an actual performance for family and friends.

p. Hollow Reed Motion Picture Studios (Wolfville, NS) Film Arts (Toronto). **exec. p.** Don Haig. **d./cam.** Hubert Schuurman. **ed.** Michael Todd. **sd. rec.** Andrew Foster. **narr.** Jennie Richmond/Jim Morrow. **running time** 13 mins. **Col.** 16mm. **Availability:** Kinetic Film Enterprises Ltd., 781 Gerrard St. E., Toronto M4M 1V5 (416) 469-4155.

MEMORIES OF PARADISE

Margaret Dragu celebrates the Summer Solstice, longest day of the year, with an 18-hour performance in the Royal Botanical Gardens in 'Steeltown' - Hamilton, Ontario. "It's sort of like clapping for Tinker Bell," says Dragu, adding later that "... I thought we might fall over after six hours..." But they didn't, and the Solstice Girlz (sic) romp along in their short white Grecian-style tunics, flourishing large wreaths of twigs entwined with fluttering drapery.

Facing the camera, Dragu talks about how she started dancing at Romanian weddings that went on for three days with continuous food, dance and music, and somehow ended up being a stripper for eight years, and that's where she got her training - "I loved stripping." She reminisces about her club work and how the scene had to be experienced - lots of drugs and sex and rock-and-roll - "I felt like an alien creature." And there's a snippet of Dragu in white tie and tails, with a red rubber clown nose, parodying Marlene Dietrich singing "La Vie en Rose"

"The Solstice was a radical change for me... I wanted to reach another audience other than the late-night crowd," so Dragu inclined toward a

Druid style, in the natural light and without any "tricks" from the stage. "I wanted the whole City of Hamilton to rush down into the park and join the Solstice Girlz and celebrate the sun coming up."

A fair-size group participated in the dance for Mums and Kids to a calypso beat; watched a jazzed-up "Here Comes the Bride" ensemble, and "Swan Lake in the Park", with Dragu as the male partner; and finally joined the Solstice Girlz (festooned with inner tubes-in a march to the beat of the Burlington Tech Tour Band with its baton twirlers and cheerleaders strutting their stuff.

What a wonderfully wacky and off-centre idea but, unfortunately, it's difficult to gauge how well it was realized. The problem with the film is, its mind isn't made up. The filmmakers flit between the Solstice celebration and Dragu as talking-head without, it would appear, having decided the shape and style of the end result. Personally, this reviewer is left waiting for a film by and about Margaret Dragu and her background - Romanian weddings, stripping, the cabaret scene, tricks of the trade - the whole fascinating works. In the meantime, *Memories of Paradise*, aired in June by TVOntario, will have to serve as a little foretaste of that treat.

p.c. Breakthrough Films and Television Inc., in assn. with TVOntario and Poundmaker Productions. **p./d.** Ira Levy, Peter Williamson. **sc.** Levy, Williamson, Simon Johnston. **cam.** Peter Williamson. **ed.** Robert Megna. **sd.** Peter Sawade. **l.p.** Margaret Dragu, Jan Kudelka, Susan Swan, Claudia Moore, Marsha Coffey, Donna Bothen, Adah Glassbourg, Robert Nasmith. **Col.** 16mm. **running time** 29 mins. **Availability:** Breakthrough Films, 980 Yonge St., 2nd. Fl., Toronto M4W 2J4 (416) 924-3371. With assistance from The Ministry of Citizenship and Culture (Ontario).

On June 30, CBC-TV finally broadcast *Balconville*, the 90-minute 1983 TV version of David Fennario's 1978 play. Having let this production, directed by *Empire Inc.*'s Mark Blandford, sit on the shelf for quite some time, the powers-that-be ultimately decided to air it in an 11:30 p.m. time-slot, no doubt so that any viewers who might object to frequent obscenities would have long since gone to sleep.

Nevertheless, the timing was eerily appropriate. *Balconville* depicts three Montreal working-class and unemployed families living out their frustrating situations on the adjacent balconies of their apartments. Claude Paquette (Marc Gelinas), wife Cecile (Yolande Circe) and daughter Diane (Anne-Marie Gelinas) are francophone, while next-door neighbours Johnny Regan (Peter MacNeill) and wife Irene (Jayne Eastwood), and downstairs neighbours Muriel (Terry Tweed) and her son Tom (John Wildman) are anglophone. Although it becomes obvious that all seven are equally trapped in economic and socio-political factors much larger than their language-differences, it is language that bears the brunt of their hostilities, at least for feuding Claude and Johnny. The two fiercely tack up their respective flags, thereby declaring boundaries and seemingly mutually exclusive allegiances.

Twenty minutes into the broadcast, CBC News interrupted to announce the resignation of René Lévesque. It was one of those odd moments of synchronicity that TV seems

photo: Peggy Kelly



SCANLINES

by Joyce Nelson

Summer in the country

to specialize in.

But *Balconville* concerns itself with much more than the "two solitudes," though the apartment complex is surely a microcosm for Canada. It is also a revealing drama about many forms of colonialism and oppression, especially the nebulous, romanticized oppression that mass culture is geared to. Here, the male anglophones seem particularly vulnerable. Both the aging Johnny and young Tom are aspiring musicians forever dreaming about making it big - like Elvis. The production is rich in allusions to pop music: references to Elvis, snatches from 1950s and 1960s songs, posters of Elvis and The Who in Johnny's apartment, a "Sex Pistols" graffiti on a wall, recurring use of Nick Gilder's hit tune, "Hot Child in the City". Tom's media-inspired dream is to run off to New York and, after he has learned to play his guitar, be a musical success. He is clearly a younger version of Johnny, who,

while waiting for his UIC to someday come through, dreams of forming a band again.

If the men, including francophone Claude, are not dreaming of making it big, or winning Super-Loto, they are watching TV and/or getting drunk. Meanwhile, the women seem to have had all their dreams and illusions ripped away. As Eastwood's character puts it: "You know how it is - you marry a prince and he turns into a frog, eh?" They work, fix the food, and put the drunken men to bed.

In a sense, *Balconville* is a curious (unconscious?) distillation of the recurring male-female dynamic in so many English-Canadian movies. The long-suffering wife is the Rock of Gibraltar, propping up her rowdy-man husband who simply cannot get it together to make his dreams come true. What is distinctive about *Balconville*, however, is that it attempts

to actually illuminate this dynamic, rather than simply depict it as though that is just how things are.

Thus, for example, at one point Johnny tells Irene not to go into her "martyr" routine - suggesting that the long-suffering martyr wife is the necessary other side in the dynamic involving the "loser" adolescent husband. And when Irene protests: "Why do I have to be strong for the both of us?!", she is angrily voicing what so many large-screen Canadian wives never say. Johnny's answer is illuminating and wrong. "It's so easy for you," he says. "It's not easy for me." But Irene doesn't fall for it. She draws her own line, and Johnny is forced to shape up if he wants to stay with her. Unlike so many English-Canadian movies where the male-female dynamic remains fixed in the supposedly "lovable" roles of rowdy-man and Rock of Gibraltar, *Balconville* dares to suggest that there is a great deal that is both pathetic and also changeable in this peculiarly Canadian dynamic. Fennario has also subtly tied this dynamic to colonialism itself - which is something else that English-Canadian movies tend not to do.

The extent to which this production speaks to deep-seated Canadian attitudes and realities should have ensured it, despite the "strong language", a prime-time network viewing slot. But, given its honesty and clear-sightedness, perhaps it's amazing enough that *Balconville* was broadcast at all.



VOLUMINOUS!

CINEMA

C A N A D A

bound volumes cover
Canadian cinema from
cover-to-cover (1972-1983)

Bound volumes of all but the first seven issues of **Cinema Canada** are now available. Vol. 1 has sold out, and Vol. 3 is a limited edition of 60 copies, while recent volumes are limited to 50 copies each. Due to scarcity of individual issues, Vol. 8 is a limited edition of 40 copies.

Vol. 1	(1972) Sold Out	
Vol. 2	(Nos. 8-14) 1973-74	\$40
Vol. 3	(Nos. 15-20) 1974-75	\$50
Vol. 4	(Nos. 21-30) 1975-76	\$35
Vol. 5	(Nos. 31-40) 1976-77	\$35
Vol. 6	(Nos. 41-50) 1977-78	\$35
Vol. 7	(Nos. 51-59) 1978-79	\$35
Vol. 8	(Nos. 60-72) 1979-80	\$60
Vol. 9	(Nos. 73-80) 1980-81	\$35
Vol. 10	(Nos. 81-90/91) 1981-82	\$35
Vol. 11	(Nos. 92-100) 1982-83	\$35

State of the art scholarship

The collected papers of the 1983 and 1984 Film Studies Association of Canada annual conferences are now available. Scholars, critics, film journalists, librarians, filmmakers and the interested public will find these two volumes a useful introduction to the growing Canadian contribution to contemporary film discourse.

THE BEST OF CANADIAN FILM STUDIES

Film and the Future : 11 papers that probe changing contexts for the future of film theory and practice, from Hill Street Blues to semiology's disciplining of cinematic codes. Magazine format, 8-1/2 x 11, 76 pages, photos, \$5.00.

Words and Moving Images : 13 papers on the interrelationships of film language and imagery, from feminist language in recent Quebec cinema to deconstructive strategies in the films of Michael Snow. Paperback, 5-3/4 x 8-3/4, 150 pages, \$10.00 (available as of May, 1984).

Published by the Film Studies Association of Canada in conjunction with Cinema Canada magazine.

ORDER FORM

Enclosed please find

\$ _____

to cover my order as checked

CINEMA

P.O. Box 398
Outremont Station
Montreal, Quebec
H2V 4N3

- 1 YEAR (12 issues)**
 Individuals: \$22
 Companies & institutions: \$26
2 YEARS (24 issues)
 Individuals: \$42
 Companies & institutions: \$46
 (Add \$9 postage for USA and overseas **per year**)

- SPECIAL ISSUES**
 Film and the Future, \$5
 The Grierson Files, \$2.50

- BOUND VOLUMES**
- | | |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Vol. 2 \$40 | <input type="checkbox"/> Vol. 7 \$35 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Vol. 3 \$50 | <input type="checkbox"/> Vol. 8 \$60 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Vol. 4 \$35 | <input type="checkbox"/> Vol. 9 \$30 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Vol. 5 \$35 | <input type="checkbox"/> Vol. 10 \$35 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Vol. 6 \$35 | <input type="checkbox"/> Vol. 11 \$35 |

NAME _____

ADDRESS _____

CITY _____

PROVINCE _____

COUNTRY _____

CODE _____

Payment enclosed _____

Bill my VISA acc. no. _____

Bill me, P.O. no. _____

SIGNATURE _____

Tel. _____

Profession _____