A neophyte gets stage fright

The last time I was here at the Salon Theatre the lights were low, the boards were bare but the seats were filled and the air was alive with expectation. That night this theatre and many of the actors performing in it were still on the fringes of the thriller, trendy Toronto arts district known as Queen Street West.

Three years later gentrification has pushed the epicentre of trendiness westward toward Queen and Dovercourt, where the Salon Theatre is located. And some of the artists who performed that night have started to make it in the mainstream.

On this snowy January afternoon the atmosphere is again humming with expectations, but ones of a different sort. It’s been taken over by the cast and crew of Shadow Dancing (filmed as Stage fright), a merry tale of an understudy haunted by the ghost of a long-dead dancer. It features such veteran Canadian performers Christopher Plummer and Shirley Douglas along with such relative newcomers as Gregory Osborne (on loan from the National Ballet of Canada) and Nadine Vandervelde (recently seen in the television movie, Shattered Innocence).

The production has been transformed by set designer Barbra Mattis from a plain stage to an ornate arch suited to the flowering of romantic dreams. Most of the seats are now empty, occupied by a few resting technicians and official observers.

On this day the orchestra, not the stage, is the focus of activity. Again and again four dancers explode into 30 seconds of precise and gorgeous movement. Between takes they collapse in exhaustion at the edge of the stage, brightening only when a crew member pats them with a tray of drinks. The dancers may be tired but each takes minutes to retain its spark.

Finally the camera is pulled back for a long shot of the whole scene. While the camera crew set up Gregory Osborne huddles with choreographer Timothy Span and first A.D., John Board. They make some minor changes in the previous routine, rehearse the dancers, and even personnel. Television people are generally more businesslike, film people more creative, she says. Still she has unsufficing praise for the practical help and advice she received from Telefilm, mentioning in particular women such as Linda Bieh and Debbie Bernstein.

Shadow Dancing is produced by Kay Bachman’s first venture into feature films. But she is an old hand at organizing. For over 15 years she produced a variety of television programs, including the highly rated Quiz Kids for Columbia. After a two-year hiatus running an antique store, a venture she fell into almost by chance, she felt the urge to get back into show business.

Once again serendipity played a large role in shaping Bachman’s moves. A newspaper account of the reopening of Toronto’s venerable Winter Garden Theatre after decades of neglect sparked a story idea. A tour of the premises, which still had old photos of theatrical legends lining the walls and the odd program resting in a dust-filled corner, further kindled her interest.

After commissioning and rejecting a number of scripts – she wanted not only to attempt art but to achieve entertainment – she attended a performance of the play Rumours and in playwright Christine Foster found her eventual scriptwriter.

As a neophyte film producer Bachman discovered that making movies was quite different from putting together television, in terms of budget structure, financing, story structure and even personnel. Television people are generally more businesslike, film people more creative, she says. Still she has unsufficing praise for the practical help and advice she received from Telefilm, mentioning in particular women such as Linda Bieh and Debbie Bernstein.

Shadow Dancing is now in post-production and may be released by Cineplex-Odeon films, as soon as this summer. And Bachman – even though she is relieved to once again be supervising a staff of 20 rather than a crew of 200 – has another great story idea and is already planning her next film.

Playing to the crowd: Nadine van der Velde, Kay Bachman, Christopher Plummer and Lewis Furey

A devilish dinner

It’s April 7, the 17th day of the 18-day shoot of Jacques Benoît’s first feature film, Dinner for Four (Le Dîner à quatre). The location is a relatively small, dimly lit, art deco-ish restaurant on Boulevard St.-Laurent, in Montreal. For the past few days, everyone on the restaurant staff has been replaced by Benoît’s cast and crew, with the exception of the bartender. He’s busy at work behind the bar making espresso, after espresso, after espresso for those on the set who might need the occasional caffeine jolt.

I arrive towards the end of the lunch break and find a relaxed atmosphere. The crew is hanging out drinking coffee, smoking, conversing, and laughing. I wonder why they bother to use a smoke machine, as there seems to so many people smoking around the set. But one is not to be misled by the relaxed atmosphere. Once called into action, the crew is alert, professional, and very good-natured. Furthermore, they’re been at it since 4:30 a.m. In fact, when I ask the photographer if they are all tired, he frowns his eyebrows, cock his head in confusion and says cheerfully, “Monsieur, pas du tout.”

Perhaps the quick-witted spirit among the crew reflects the comic tone of the film. Dinner for Four, written by Jean Harcours, Bernard Danerseau and Annie Pierard, casts a humorous light on the difficult emotional ordeals which single, divorced parents face who want to remarry. The problems escalate when Jacques (Normand Chouinard) and Johanne (Sylvie Legault) decide to inform their respective children of their decision. Magalie (Lucie Laurier), Johanne’s 12-year-old daughter, and Francis (Sebastien Tougas), Jacques’ 13-year-old son, do not react with open arms to their parents’ decision.

Johanne and Jacques take the children out to dinner for their introductory encounter. As is to be expected, once face-to-face in the restaurant, the tension between the children soars as fast as the twinkling of an eye in the candlelight. Consequently, the children’s mutual aversion to one another leads to a relatively unpleasant evening. Flippanter remarks, smart-aleck behaviour and sighs of boredom are but a few of the attention-getting antics that have replaced pleasant and polite dinner conversation. As it turns out, both children succeed in making their disagreement painstakingly clear to their respective parents.

Both young actors are convincing and entertaining. It’s obvious they are experienced and not unfamiliar with the demands of life on set. Lucie stands next to me as the hair stylist tightens the soft, dark curls of hair that frame her face and I realize how perfectly she is cast. She’s tiny, and her legs are so skinny it’s hard to believe they can support even her slight frame.

She’s dressed in a green mini-dress and white tights to play up her elf-like qualities, and it works. But despite her delicate appearance, her porcelain complexion and her sparkling eyes, she’s got a mischievous manner that makes her credible in her somewhat manipulative role.

She’s got that ‘12-going-on-21’ attitude that allows her to project a delightful versatility in the part of Magalie.
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