Hubert-Yves Rose is off to the Directors’ Fortnight at the International Film Festival at Cannes with his first feature film, *La ligne de chaleur* (The Heat Line), a tale of death and relationships between fathers and their sons. The title refers to that point in the drive between Quebec and Florida where the heat of the south suddenly strikes, changing irrevocably the atmosphere.

Rose is 44 years old and has only two other films behind him: the 40-minute *L’Heure bleue*, made at the National Film Board in 1976, and *Voyageur*, a short made with the Association coopérative de productions audio-visuelles (ACPAV), producers of his current feature.

As he explains to Michael Dorland in the interview which follows, his apprenticeship has been long and taken him from a writer’s job at the NFB – where he also worked as a d. a. to Jean Beaudin – to Radio-Québec where he wrote and hosted a show about film directors. Along the way, he found time to finish an M.A. at the Université de Montréal.

Rose wrote *La Ligne de chaleur* with Micheline Lancôt, his partner on the job and off, and is currently working on other projects with her. The film he takes to Cannes is closer in tone and theme to Lancôt, *Sonatine* than it is to Canada’s recent contributions to the Fortnight, *The Decline of the American Empire*, *Night Zoo* and *I’ve Heard the Mermaids Singing*. Rose insists, however, that it is rooted in the films of the early ’70s.

“The light in Florida is very peculiar in December. There’s a sort of battle between the heat and the cold in December. Before Christmas, in the month of December, death is written all over Florida. That’s what’s so extraordinary.”

“MY FATHER DIED IN 1976 AND I WAS IN NEW YORK WHEN HE DIED. I CAME BACK TO MONTREAL TWO DAYS AFTER HIS DEATH AND MY FATHER’S BODY HAD ALREADY BEEN RETURNED TO MONTREAL, SO I WAS ASKED BY MY MOTHER TO GO AND BRING BACK HIS CAR, HIS PERSONAL EFFECTS. NOT THE SAME THING AS BRINGING BACK A BODY. AND I WENT THERE ALONE. DURING THE TRIP I REGRETTED NOT HAVING BROUGHT MY SON WITH ME. SO I TRANSPOSED IT INTO THE FILM.”

“THE LIGHT IN FLORIDA IS VERY PECULIAR IN DECEMBER. THERE’S A SORT OF BATTLE BETWEEN THE HEAT AND THE COLD IN DECEMBER. BEFORE CHRISTMAS, IN THE MONTH OF DECEMBER, DEATH IS WRITTEN ALL OVER FLORIDA. THAT’S WHAT’S SO EXTRAORDINARY.”
Cinema Canada: Three years in a row, a Québecois film has been picked for the Directors Fortnight at Cannes. Suddenly Québecois cinema is interesting again. Why do you think this is happening?

Hubert-Yves Rose: What a question! That I don’t know. We’re picking up on the aftermath of the decline of the Un Zoo and The Decline. But in watching the films in the ’70s like Les Dernières fusillades by Jean Pierre Lefèvre that won the critics prize or Les Ordures by Michel Brault that won the prize for Best Director: Les Bons débuts by Francis Mankiewicz; and more recently Sauvage, which won the Silver Lion in Venice.

Cinema Canada: Do you have some idea what these three films of the last three years might be saying…?

Hubert-Yves Rose: Not three films. Two films.

Cinema Canada: I’m anticipating since there’s a continuity one can make with your film as well.

Hubert-Yves Rose: My film is very different from Un Zoo and The Decline. I think it’s much more in the tradition of what we were seeing in the ’70s. It’s much more modest film. Don’t you think so?

Cinema Canada: Yes and no.

Hubert-Yves Rose: Personally, I think it fits well in the Directors Fortnight. Better than Un Zoo and The Decline. I think that Devereux should have been in the competition. Un Zoo, I don’t know. To me, it’s a commercial film rather than an art film. It’s a product.

Cinema Canada: The point I’m trying to bring up is a representation of a Québecois cinema towards or around the idea of Americanisation. And the implications of that. The three films, with Lauzon’s and Aranda’s…

Hubert-Yves Rose: Are less Québecois.

Cinema Canada: No. But you’re trying to deal with a cinéma postrefondation…

Hubert-Yves Rose: Less nationalistic…

Cinema Canada: In one sense, but are working around some kind of reconciliation with an American modernity which is Québecois.

Hubert-Yves Rose: In that sense, yes. It’s true. They’re different from the previous work we’ve seen. How I think it’s beyond Québec. The films are inscribed in a space that is happening not only in Québec but throughout the world. It’s a current that I hesitate to call post-modernism but…

Cinema Canada: In a way, sure, but in the context where the hegemony is, if you want, Quebec in America. So what way is that you want you want to make this film in that kind of context? What are your feelings about Quebec as part of America? For that sense, the film is a brilliant essay on America.

Hubert-Yves Rose: I have always said to myself and to friends that Québec has an enviable geographical, historical and sociological position. We’re between the old world – Europe and America. We should be a synthesis of those two cultures. Personally, I don’t think it’s happening yet. We’re at the start of something, but we’re not really there right now.

Cinema Canada: That’s something that Denis de la Fortuné has always said, about Québec as a plaque tournante, as a turnable, but that leads to a very different kind of cinema. Hubert-Yves’ cinema, I must say, is not your film.

Hubert-Yves Rose: Normally, we should have done what the Germans did in the ’70s. The explosion of German cinema: Wenders, Herzog. We should have done it in the ’70s, after the ’60s. It is happening now! I don’t know. It’s too early to say. I think so. You cannot say that on the basis of two or three films. It’s difficult for me to evaluate my own film. You’ve seen it, you see it…

Cinema Canada: I’m interested in the intentions and why. It was freely, for instance, to see in the credits of La Ligne de chaleur an American crew. As a kind of reversal.

Hubert-Yves Rose: To me, it was important. I always wanted to make a film in the United States because of my childhood. Cinema Canada: Can you explain that, why because of your childhood?

Hubert-Yves Rose: I was always attracted to the United States. To me they symbolize adventure, liberty, freedom and naivety. So I was always attracted to the United States; I’d been going there since I was five. I have another project that operates in the film.

Cinema Canada: Can you explain that idea of your childhood?

Hubert-Yves Rose: I was always attracted to the United States. To me they symbolize adventure, liberty, freedom and naivety. So I was always attracted to the United States; I’d been going there since I was five. I have another project that operates in the film. I had a chance to work abroad. I wouldn’t go to United States. I would go back to Florida because I like the light. Florida is to me what Polynesia was to Gauguin. It’s funny what I’m saying because I don’t have any affinities with the Americans. I’m much more attracted to Europe. If I had a chance to work abroad I wouldn’t go to United States. I would go to Europe.

Cinema Canada: You say you were attracted by the United States as a place of adventure and so forth, and yet the portrait of the United States that La Ligne de chaleur articulates is the United States as death. As a whole continent of death in which the living dead wander around, have no roots, just more around naivety in anticipation of the great event – the final entry of the tomb, if you want. How do you reconcile that idea of adventure with a fairly dark Canada and/or Québecois view of the United States beyond the sunlight as this land of death?

Hubert-Yves Rose: I don’t know. It’s related to my nature. I’m attracted by death and to death. I’m attracted to life and survivors so it’s something to reflect upon. It’s intuitive. When I was very young I used to be attracted by very lively things… now what attracts me in the American landscape is death, decadence, decline. Why, I don’t know. One thing I’m sure is that it has nothing to do with cinema. Unlike Wenders who gives us a perception of America through cinema, I think mine is different. It’s a voyage in the interior. It’s different than Wenders, I don’t know why. I’m North American, not German…

I’ve seen a lot of films in my life. I started to go to cinema when I was five. I’ve seen a few films and thousands of films. For me it was important to make a first feature that didn’t relate to cinema. That didn’t have a single cinematographic reference. I wanted to liberate myself from my culture. I wanted to put myself in the situation of a pioneer, a primitive. I tried to look at America as a primitive. It would have been easy for me to make a lot of references in my film because I’ve seen a lot of films, so I tried to put all that aside.

Cinema Canada: But you’re watching La Ligne de chaleur there are a lot of references, not direct references but indirect references. So much is respect of film but in terms of Québecois literature. For instance, Lévy Beaulieu’s interpretation of Kerouac and his view of America; the novels of Pierre Targnon; Hubert Ayani’s Antiphonist that’s set in California; Jacques Godbout. I see La Ligne de chaleur as an extension along these lines. Are these conscious influences for you?

Hubert-Yves Rose: No, unconscious. When I shot that film I tried to put myself in a primitive state. Of course it’s impossible because I’ve seen films, I’ve read books. There aren’t any more primitive talents like John Ford or Allan Dwan today. It’s impossible.

Cinema Canada: What does that mean to put yourself in a primitive state and how do you think that operates in the film in terms of your composition and so on? What would you say is the primitive in the film?

Hubert-Yves Rose: The look, the cadence. The way the film was shot. There aren’t many closes… In fact, I thought of one filmmaker and one photographer throughout the shoot. In terms of cinema I thought of John Ford and, as far as light is concerned, I thought of Joel Meyerowitz. If you want to have some references you have John Ford and Meyerowitz but it doesn’t look like a John Ford movie. There are a few shots that look like Meyerowitz photographs, that’s all, a few shots.

Cinema Canada: Along with the theme of death, there’s a whole other dynamic I found very interesting that has to do with winter.

Hubert-Yves Rose: First of all I’m happy that you’re talking to me about death because this film has nothing to do with father-and-son relations. To me the film is about the sentiment of death. The apprehension of the sentiment of death. That’s what it’s all about.

Cinema Canada: How, in those terms then does the relationship of the son come into it on the one hand? Then there’s the attempt of Robert (Gardel Arcand) to reconcile himself with his father on the other, with the ghost of his father. And thirdly there’s your own dedication of the film to your father.

Hubert-Yves Rose: It’s a complex question. Can you formulate it in a simpler manner?

Cinema Canada: It’s to some degree two films again, a little bit like Un Zoo in which is trying to deal with two films simultaneously and bring them together. And I think you’ve got two elements in La Ligne de chaleur that don’t necessarily come together completely. I think the whole portrait of America is tremendously ambiguous and very effective. At the same time you’ve also got this other equally ambiguous theme – the reconciliation of the generations.

Hubert-Yves Rose: I know. Well I have to be honest with you. The film is made, so it’s too late to change that. So I must talk to you about the premise. My father died in 1976 and I was in New York when he died. I came back to Montreal two days after his death and my father’s body had already been returned to Montreal, so I was asked by my mother to go and bring back his car, his personal effects. Not the same thing as bringing a dead body. And I went there alone. During the trip I regretted not having brought my son with me. So I transposed it into the film.

The whole thing is based on guilt. The whole approach is one of guilt and regret. That’s the entire stance of the film: guilt and regret. If it were to be done again I would write a story of a man who goes south but alone. The minute you put a child in a film, it’s over. He upsets what you want to say. If you want to talk about death, you don’t bring along a kid. I think it was a mistake to write the film around a man and a young boy. Perhaps it was not a mistake but it was not well integrated. I agree with you. You have two stories and they conflict. A lot of people will see a film which treats the relationships between father and son. Except that I like to talk about death. That’s what interests me, not the relationships between father and son.

Cinema Canada: Even if it’s a mistake as you say, I don’t think it’s there completely by accident either. Because if you want to come to terms with death there has to be some degree of reconciliation, generational reconciliation that’s important in terms of an approach towards death as well.

Hubert-Yves Rose: Reconciliation with
ourselves also as a people. The film, initially, in an earlier draft of the script, ended in Montreal instead of Virginia. That was really ambitious. I wanted to make a post-referendum film. When I began writing the script it was soon after the referendum. I wanted the film to end with the character arriving at Montreal but not being able to cross the river. I'll do it in another film.

Cinema Canada: After the referendum... how did that leave you feeling and what did that mean in terms of a project for film? What would be the role of the filmmaker in a post-referendum cinema?

Hubert-Yves Rose: What you're asking me is difficult. It's difficult for me to answer because my approach is both individual and also the cinema.

Cinema Canada: Collective in the sense that what me is representing comes out of a collective imagination and a collective experience so that is something that underlies, in this case, the story of the individual?

Hubert-Yves Rose: I would like to respond to this. Before the referendum I was not a nationalist. I wasn't capable of being a nationalist. I was too individualist. For me it was too important; English culture is too important for me, for enrichment. I may well be an individualist but for me it's very important that Quebec protects its English minority. I can't live without speaking and thinking in English. I shift constantly between English and French and that is also part of my contradictions. As Orson Welles once said, all artists experience a tension with their own contradictions and they work with that. I'm like that. I am torn between Europe and the United States. Before the referendum I had no sense of belonging here. I wasn't nationalist but now that it is no longer fashionable to be nationalistic, I am. I feel that we feel something. We missed the point. Also, I'm not in agreement with the time we live in. The modern era is finished. We're in the post-modern. I find that it's a decadent cultural current, searching for a style, a way of thinking. It's a cultural melting pot which doesn't have an identity, or a personality. Take, for example, post-modern architecture: in 10 years' time we'll be laughing at the Coopérant's building here. It's impersonal, neo-Berlinism.

Cinema Canada: Is La Ligne de chaleur a post-modern film?

Hubert-Yves Rose: I made it against post-modernism. I haven't yet made a choice from an aesthetic point of view... La Ligne de chaleur is, perhaps, a very classical film. Perhaps one could say neo-classical but for now I'm looking for a new way of telling stories. I haven't found it yet. Because of my age, I feel closer to modernism than post-modernism. For me, modernism ends with Antonioni. Post-modernism begins with Wim Wenders.

Cinema Canada: How is Quebecois cinema at all been modernist for you?

Hubert-Yves Rose: The most modernist film to me was Sonatine. As far as post-modernism is concerned, Un Zoo la nuit is a post-modern work.

Hubert-Yves Rose: Un Zoo la nuit is the improbable encounter between vision and a film about fish and game. It's two styles. Indeed, it's all the contradictions that, because of his age and his culture, Lauzon can relate to. Whereas I'm entirely at the opposite pole of that cultural current.

Cinema Canada: I want to come back to the question of winter in La Ligne de chaleur which is a very wintry look at the United States. Robert is a wintry person. He says as much. He's someone who doesn't like the sunshine or the heat even. And yet at the same time there seems to be an implication that there is a potential for a kind of creativity coming out of winter. For instance, the shots of Montreal with the snow, the light in Florida is very peculiar in December. I tried to reproduce a certain wintry look. Which

Hubert-Yves Rose: Yeah, it's physical. To me it's impossible to work in summer. I'm very attracted towards Florida. Especially the Keys. All southern Florida attracts me. It's ugly. It's full of condominiums. The whole coast is full of condominiums. Yet I have a real predilection for Quebec is in two places: in Abitibi or in the summer than in winter. I was in the presence of death, whether it is the body's death, through the plastic bag or in the United State, as you go north, you go north, it turns into snow on the car. The light in Florida is very peculiar in December. There's a sort of battle between the heat and the cold in December. Before Christmas, in the month of December, death is written all over Florida. That's what's so extraordinary. It isn't hot. It isn't cold. It's full of old people who walk about dressed in woof. It's deserted. I was struck by that. And that was what I tried to recreate in the film, instead of creating a suffocating heat, a tropical heat – which in Florida, only exists during the summer, never in February or March. It's completely different in summer than in winter. I was in the presence of death in December. I tried to reproduce a certain coldness inside a tropical climate. The presence

Cinema Canada: Yet in the film, the light that you
of death in Florida. That's in the images. I hadn't foreseen that at the beginning. That's the shock that I had when I went to scout for locations for the film.

Hubert-Yves Rose: Yeah, me the Yves, children. and his film America beneath the surface. that I had when I went to scout for light in Florida, that made me think of Gilles Groulx locations for the film.

Hubert-Yves Rose: It's a northern vision of America which is different from a European vision like Wenders.

Hubert-Yves Rose: That was the first batch - Andrè Théberge, Belanger, Chabot, Michel Audy, Yvan Patry. And i came in with the second batch in 1969. Unfortunately, the first film I directed was in 1976.

Hubert-Yves Rose: Do we have an obsession with children in Quebec literature and films. Personally, I think there are too many children in Quebecois literature or cinema. This is the last film I'll make with a child. It's time we grew up.

Hubert-Yves Rose: That's why I work seven days a week. I don't know how to live. I work seven days a week because I'm very lazy. I am the opposite of Michel who is capable of balancing her time between work and her family life.

Hubert-Yves Rose: All this time with the intention of directing. But, instead of doing it in 1969 or 1970 like my friends, Chabot or Forcier or Théberge, the first film I directed was Il'aste bleue in 1976, a medium-length film.

Hubert-Yves Rose: What do you think it has given you out of dark movie theatres and out of culture? People like Chabot, Forcier or Théberge, the first film I directed was Il'aste bleue in 1976, a medium-length film.

Hubert-Yves Rose: What is your attraction to cinema? How did you become a filmmaker? Hubert-Yves Rose: At first I wanted to be an actor. It all started when I was five. My father waited a long time to introduce television in our family and I was 10 when I first saw a TV program. So between five and 10, we used to go to the movies three times a week and before I did my classical studies, all that I had seen were B-movies, American films, so I've seen a lot of shit, but to me it was something enriching. I started to see my first European films when I did my classical studies.

Hubert-Yves Rose: Yeah. I thought of that film while I was shooting. The Quebecois filmmaker with whom I identify the most is Gilles Groulx. Despite the fact that my films are completely different. It's a giant of Quebecois cinema. I think it's a shame that he has fallen silent. Paradoxically, I was the last to interview him. I hosted a series called "Cinéastes à l'écran" at Radio-Québec and I was the last to interview Gilles Groulx at Ste-Hyacinthe in a miserable apartment in a rooming house run by some Haitians. It's an interview that still exists on video.

Hubert-Yves Rose: Yes. And also his anguish. A mixture of luxury and anguish. But, unlike Arcand, I don't think he was cynical about what he was looking at. He was less detached than Arcand. More complicated. But lazy. He was a lazy creator.

Hubert-Yves Rose: Are you lucky? Are you attracted by laziness?

Hubert-Yves Rose: Yes, I'm attracted to it. That's why I work seven days a week. I don't know how to live. I work seven days a week because I'm very lazy. I am the opposite of Michel who is capable of balancing her time between work and her family life.

Hubert-Yves Rose: What's this about Groulx? The word which usually comes to mind is lucidity or at least an attempt at lucidity.

Hubert-Yves Rose: Yes. And also his anguish. A mixture of luxury and anguish. But, unlike Arcand, I don't think he was cynical about what he was looking at. He was less detached than Arcand. More complicated. But lazy. He was a lazy creator.

Hubert-Yves Rose: All this time with the intention of directing. But, instead of doing it in 1969 or 1970 like my friends, Chabot or Forcier or Théberge, the first film I directed was Il'aste bleue in 1976, a medium-length film.

Hubert-Yves Rose: What do you think it has given you out of dark movie theatres and out of culture? People like Chabot, Forcier or Théberge, the first film I directed was Il'aste bleue in 1976, a medium-length film.
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Cinema Canada: And that’s going to continue in other projects? You mentioned Tarzana.

Hubert·Yves Rose: There is also another project which is in negotiation with two other producers. It’s called Les Roses sauvages from the novel by Jacques Ferron. It’s a very ambitious work. Why do you laugh?

Cinema Canada: In terms of Robert coming back to Montreal … your hesitation in terms of coming back and making a film about here.

Hubert·Yves Rose: I’m tackling one of the giants of Québécois literature. I have as many chances of being successful as of flopping. Maybe I’ll come to terms with myself by directing it.

Cinema Canada: You were saying earlier that you feel some ambivalence about going to Montreal. Are the other projects with ACPAV?

Hubert·Yves Rose: Yes. I think we have lost that. We don’t have that. I don’t know if you agree.

Cinema Canada: Arcand spent something like a year promoting The Decline. Is that something that you can envisage?

Hubert·Yves Rose: It is necessary. You have to do that. You have to accompany your film. I have an advantage. Two projects are already in development. Tarzana will have a completed draft in two weeks and Les Roses sauvages already has a treatment and I’m supposed to start working with Micheline on it by the first of June. It has to be finished by Dec. 1. Normally, if everything goes well, I should start directing by April 1, 1989.

Cinema Canada: What do you think will happen with La ligne de chaleur? Do you see it getting a fairly large commercial distribution? What are your hopes for the film?

Hubert·Yves Rose: Personally, I don’t think it will get a fairly large, commercial distribution, but you never know. I’m not worried about the critical reception. What worries me is the public. How do we reach the public? Because I’m not known. I’m coming from a cinema like that of Robner, Dillion, people like them. You have to make a certain number of films before one is known. Who will we reach? And how? Cannes, for that, will help the film, I hope.

Hubert·Yves Rose: It could be like that but Les Roses sauvages will be very different in terms of rhythm, light; La Ligne has a slow pace. Roses will be the exact opposite, very rapid. I can’t find the right term to define the rhythm of the film. I already have an idea of what it will be. Tarzana will be very different from the Roses sauvages so I don’t think I’ll have the same approach as Dillion.

Cinema Canada: What role did ACPAV and Marc Doillon in particular play in terms of La ligne de chaleur? In terms of their support.

Hubert·Yves Rose: ACPAV is the last production company where you can still make films d’astuce with a lot of liberty. I don’t think it would have been the same if I had done it with other companies.

Cinema Canada: Are the other projects with ACPAV?

Hubert·Yves Rose: Les Roses sauvages is with ACPAV. Tarzana is with my production company, Stop Film. There aren’t any producers involved in Tarzana. Les Roses sauvages is with Eva Żebrowski and Marc Doigle. I don’t know if it will be produced by Eva’s company, which is called Prime Piano Inc., or with ACPAV.

Cinema Canada: You were saying that it was too early to come to any conclusion about the direction Quebec cinema was taking. In a general manner, do you feel good about how it’s going?

Hubert·Yves Rose: I have mixed feelings towards Quebec cinema. If you look at the people who make the films, there’s Denys Arcand, Jean-Claude Lauzon, Léa Pool, Simonneau. I have mixed feelings about the cinema they’re making. I feel myself much more close to the movies of the ‘60s. I think of A Tout prendre by Claude Jutra, Le Chat dans le sac by Gilles Groulx or La Vie heureuse de Léopold Z by Gilles Carle. I have more affinity with this cinema than with the cinema that’s being made now.

Cinema Canada: You have what one could call a narcissistic stance in relationship to the filmmakers of the ‘60s who would be our classess?

Hubert·Yves Rose: I don’t know. I stand at a distance from the other filmmakers. In the ‘60s there was a spontaneity, a freshness, that we don’t have in the ‘80s. As we mature technically, we are making increasingly bourgeois films. That’s what I don’t like. We’ve moved from the kitchen to the loft. I think we’ve surrendered a choice. We’ve lost our perception of the real. Before, in the ‘60s and ‘70s, we could conceive of a Québecois reality which we have since lost. The view we have of Québec is a bourgeois view, detached, cynical and self-satisfied. That’s what I don’t like. The last two “beaux regards” on Quebec were Satinée by Micheline Lacocq and Au pays de Zom by Gilles Groulx. Au pays de Zom was wonderful. M. Zom lives on the south shore, he gets out of his car, he looks at Montreal. For me, that image is worth 15,000 images by Léa Pool or Jean-Claude Lauzon. Yet we’re told that these two filmmakers bring a new tone, a new look on Quebec; to me, that’s an imposture, for it’s a borrowed look. The real one is the one from Zom.

Cinema Canada: The look of someone who owns or belongs to what he’s looking at?

Hubert·Yves Rose: Yes. I think we have lost that. We don’t have that. I don’t know if you agree.

Cinema Canada: Up to a point. The character of Zom is a bourgeoisie. Nevertheless, in the view of ownership there is room for the bourgeois look, for that sort of thing.

Hubert·Yves Rose: You’re right.

Cinema Canada: And in that sense there’s nothing inherently wrong in a bourgeois cinema: it’s all a matter of how you look at the look. One could argue that one of the weaknesses of the cinema in this country is that it hasn’t looked at the bourgeoisie closely enough. And there’s something interesting to be said for looking at the bourgeoisie.

Hubert·Yves Rose: But not via Décor Magazine. I feel much more related to the ‘60s. I’m suspicious about what’s going on. Very, very suspicious. Since Le Déclin there’s a sort of syndrome; we’re in the middle of the sweet smell of success.

Cinema Canada: And yet, in a sense, you may be involved in it as well.

Hubert·Yves Rose: Unfortunately, I don’t like it when one includes me in all that. The Gazette, Le Press are all saying, “After The Decline and The Zoo comes …” I don’t want to be part of that. The film that I made is different. It’s more like the films which we made before.

Cinema Canada: Are you looking at the bourgeoisie in the city or you look at the bourgeoisie in the provinces?

Hubert·Yves Rose: That’s a question of scale. One is more provincial, the other is really the city. That’s the difference.

Cinema Canada: You make the films about the region where you’re from. You’re not making films about the city.

Hubert·Yves Rose: It’s necessary. We have to look at the city. We have to look at the province. We have to look at the country and the province.

Cinema Canada: You mentioned the importance of Quebec cinema as an identity for you. What about your films as identity?

Hubert·Yves Rose: I think we’ve got the problem of the identity of Quebec cinema. It’s a problem of identity. We have to talk about the identity of Quebec cinema.

Cinema Canada: Does identity mean that you are going to make more films about Quebec?

Hubert·Yves Rose: Yes, I do want to make more films about Quebec. I think that we have to talk about Quebec cinema, but we have to talk about Quebec identity. We have to talk about Quebec identity in the films.

Cinema Canada: What’s next for you?

Hubert·Yves Rose: Well, I think I’m going to make a film about Montreal. You’ll be jealous. Jean Straus to Australian PBS.

Cinema Canada: We will make a gift bag especially for you.

Our gift bags are beautiful. Their contents are a selection of Native Canadian and local handcrafts. Each bag is prepared individually. Without wanting to divulge our secret, these bags contain yummy gourmet treats as well as homemade remembrances of Canada for the mantle at home. Prices vary according to contents. From $50.

This present is a lovely and original way to say “Welcome” or “Thank You” to your cast and crew or “Goodbye” to former government employees.
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We deliver, and promise discretion.

514-282-1631
416-924-6441

is proud to announce the opening of our Toronto division

CASTING DIRECTORS
Rosina Bucci
Vera Miller
Nadia Rona
3981 St. Laurent
Suite #700
Montréal, Qué.
H2W 1Y5
501 Yonge Street
Suite 224
Toronto, Ontario
M4Y 1Y4

Toronto contact: Angela Wright

CASTING DIRECTORS
THE NEW KIDS IN TOWN

CINEWATT INC.
EQUIPMENT RENTAL
GRIP AND ELECTRIC SERVICES
2720 ST-PATRICK
MONTREAL (514) 939-9999

LES PRODUCTIONS
FONDU AU NOIR INC.
PRODUCTION DE FILMS
10 ONTARIO OUEST SUITE 1011
MONTREAL (514) 282-0151

DAVID FRANCO
(514) 289-9805

SYLVAIN GINGRAS
(514) 526-1124

GOING STEADI INC.
(514) 488-6194

GRIPS UNLIMITED INC.
RIGS AND EQUIPMENT DESIGN
(514) 931-1235

WOLFPACK PRODUCTIONS INC.
(514) 989-2075

DAVID CLARKE
DENIS PAQUETTE
PIERRE RANCOURT

PIERRE BASTIEN
ROBERT LACERTE
BOB MC KENNA
BRUNO PHILIP

D. O. P. / STEADICAM

DIRECTEUR ARTISTIQUE
ART DIRECTOR

STEVE CAMPANELLI
STEADICAM III™ OWNER OPERATOR

JEAN-FRANCOIS BOURASSA
SYLVAIN ARCHAMBAULT

RÉALISATEUR SCENARISTE
PRODUCTEUR
PRODUCTEUR
MISE-EN-SCÈNE IMAGES

MONTREAL'S HOTTEST NEW CONNECTION
WE DELIVER!